

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 9742 Daniel Huong-Yu Wu 02546.002400 09/26/2003 10/672,454 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/20/2006 530 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KOYAMA, KUMIKO C KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 2876 DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4
•	A1
۱	N
M	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/672,454	WU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kumiko C. Koyama	2876

	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Individual set for the date set for the date than SIX MONTHS from the mailing set.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is laterIn on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		IE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14,20-22 and 24-33</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-19 and 23</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.		
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1			
13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.		11/3			
	SUPE	MICHAEL STEE WISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	AMINER 2800		
	151	MINTOLOGY OLIVILIA	\		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant submits that the extracted data comprises content of and type of the document. However, the Examiner submits that the claim recites "at least one of a content of and a type of the document." The Examiner believes that Wright teaches at least a type of the document. Applicant submits that the identifier is not part of the original document. Since the identifier is attached to the document, the Examiner believes that the identifier is part of the original document. The Examiner also submits that Wright discloses that routing the documents to image repository teaches routing the documents based on extracted data.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1-14, 20-22 and 24-33 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Action mailed June 15, 2006.